

South Carolina's 1st District

Representative: Henry Brown, Jr.

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	13,096	7,432	57%	61%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	12,580	3,582	28%	30%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	80,270	12,135	15%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-08)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Charleston-North Charleston MSA	69,597	\$823	42.6%	\$15.83	\$58,400	\$17,520	\$438	\$5.85	108	\$11.56	55
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach MSA	22,101	\$774	29.9%	\$14.88	\$50,400	\$15,120	\$378	\$5.85	102	\$9.87	60
Georgetown County	4,053	\$641	29.8%	\$12.33	\$49,800	\$14,940	\$374	\$5.85	84	\$8.92	55

Source: Out of Reach, 2007-2008. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

State-Level Statistics

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units			
					Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households	
ELI Households	119,990	83,461	75%	82	Extremely Low Income	-8,034	-60,363	50
VLI Households	81,311	22,361	20%	40	Very Low Income	67,118	-32,318	84
LI Households	100,633	5,323	5%	29				
Not Low Income	189,199	865	1%	17				
Total	491,133	112,010	100%	27				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2006 American Community Survey PUMS



South Carolina's 2nd District

Representative: Joe Wilson

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)	
ELI Households	13,218	7,013	53%	75%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)	
VLI Households	10,452	1,861	18%	20%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)	
Total Renter Households	68,321	9,322	14%	100%			Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
							Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-08)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Columbia HMFA	69,595	\$692	26.0%	\$13.31	\$59,200	\$17,760	\$444	\$5.85	91	\$11.09	48
Augusta-Richmond County MSA	15,153	\$654	34.0%	\$12.58	\$54,300	\$16,290	\$407	\$5.85	86	\$11.62	43
Beaufort County	12,169	\$869	29.9%	\$16.71	\$61,500	\$18,450	\$461	\$5.85	114	\$10.89	61
Orangeburg County	8,312	\$543	38.9%	\$10.44	\$39,800	\$11,940	\$299	\$5.85	71	\$8.69	48
Barnwell County	2,210	\$543	37.8%	\$10.44	\$39,900	\$11,970	\$299	\$5.85	71	\$8.15	51
Hampton County	1,627	\$543	44.0%	\$10.44	\$41,600	\$12,480	\$312	\$5.85	71	\$10.25	41
Jasper County	1,566	\$603	30.0%	\$11.60	\$45,900	\$13,770	\$344	\$5.85	79	\$11.22	41
Allendale County	1,076	\$543	41.4%	\$10.44	\$33,500	\$10,050	\$251	\$5.85	71	\$10.18	41

Source: Out of Reach, 2007-2008. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

State-Level Statistics

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units			
ELI Households	119,990	83,461	75%	82	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households	
VLI Households	81,311	22,361	20%	40				Extremely Low Income
LI Households	100,633	5,323	5%	29	Very Low Income	67,118	-32,318	84
Not Low Income	189,199	865	1%	17				
Total	491,133	112,010	100%	27				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2006 American Community Survey PUMS



South Carolina's 3rd District

Representative: Gresham Barrett

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	16,901	8,462	50%	83%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	11,263	1,356	12%	13%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	62,990	10,141	16%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-08)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Columbia HMFA	69,595	\$692	26.0%	\$13.31	\$59,200	\$17,760	\$444	\$5.85	91	\$11.09	48
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley MSA	58,566	\$649	24.3%	\$12.48	\$55,100	\$16,530	\$413	\$5.85	85	\$11.47	44
Anderson MSA	15,582	\$602	30.0%	\$11.58	\$52,400	\$15,720	\$393	\$5.85	79	\$8.61	54
Augusta-Richmond County MSA	15,153	\$654	34.0%	\$12.58	\$54,300	\$16,290	\$407	\$5.85	86	\$11.62	43
Greenwood County	7,904	\$574	29.9%	\$11.04	\$50,300	\$15,090	\$377	\$5.85	75	\$10.05	44
Laurens County HMFA	5,935	\$580	30.3%	\$11.15	\$49,700	\$14,910	\$373	\$5.85	76	\$9.08	49
Oconee County	5,903	\$547	29.9%	\$10.52	\$51,800	\$15,540	\$389	\$5.85	72	\$10.57	40
Abbeville County	1,985	\$543	38.2%	\$10.44	\$46,200	\$13,860	\$347	\$5.85	71	\$8.29	50

Source: Out of Reach, 2007-2008. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

State-Level Statistics

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units			
					Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households	
ELI Households	119,990	83,461	75%	82	Extremely Low Income	-8,034	-60,363	50
VLI Households	81,311	22,361	20%	40	Very Low Income	67,118	-32,318	84
LI Households	100,633	5,323	5%	29				
Not Low Income	189,199	865	1%	17				
Total	491,133	112,010	100%	27				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2006 American Community Survey PUMS



South Carolina's 4th District

Representative: Bob Inglis

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	16,653	8,527	51%	76%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	11,995	2,150	18%	19%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	76,543	11,218	15%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-08)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley MSA	58,566	\$649	24.3%	\$12.48	\$55,100	\$16,530	\$413	\$5.85	85	\$11.47	44
Spartanburg MSA	27,381	\$631	29.8%	\$12.13	\$54,000	\$16,200	\$405	\$5.85	83	\$11.96	41
Union County	2,822	\$543	42.5%	\$10.44	\$44,800	\$13,440	\$336	\$5.85	71	\$7.55	55

Source: Out of Reach, 2007-2008. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

State-Level Statistics

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units			
					Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households	
ELI Households	119,990	83,461	75%	82	Extremely Low Income	-8,034	-60,363	50
VLI Households	81,311	22,361	20%	40	Very Low Income	67,118	-32,318	84
LI Households	100,633	5,323	5%	29				
Not Low Income	189,199	865	1%	17				
Total	491,133	112,010	100%	27				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2006 American Community Survey PUMS



South Carolina's 5th District

Representative: John Spratt

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	16,171	7,361	46%	83%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	10,591	1,323	12%	15%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	62,260	8,912	14%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-08)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Columbia HMFA	69,595	\$692	26.0%	\$13.31	\$59,200	\$17,760	\$444	\$5.85	91	\$11.09	48
Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord HMFA	16,406	\$740	13.7%	\$14.23	\$64,300	\$19,290	\$482	\$5.85	97	\$11.09	51
Florence HMFA	12,744	\$548	29.9%	\$10.54	\$47,700	\$14,310	\$358	\$5.85	72	\$9.68	44
Sumter MSA	11,521	\$563	29.7%	\$10.83	\$45,300	\$13,590	\$340	\$5.85	74	\$9.83	44
Darlington County HMFA	5,944	\$543	43.7%	\$10.44	\$45,200	\$13,560	\$339	\$5.85	71	\$10.97	38
Lancaster County	5,743	\$543	29.9%	\$10.44	\$48,800	\$14,640	\$366	\$5.85	71	\$11.15	37
Cherokee County	5,354	\$544	29.8%	\$10.46	\$46,700	\$14,010	\$350	\$5.85	72	\$9.85	42
Chesterfield County	3,939	\$543	39.2%	\$10.44	\$42,700	\$12,810	\$320	\$5.85	71	\$8.68	48

Source: Out of Reach, 2007-2008. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

State-Level Statistics

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units			
ELI Households	119,990	83,461	75%	82	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households	
VLI Households	81,311	22,361	20%	40				Extremely Low Income
LI Households	100,633	5,323	5%	29	Very Low Income	67,118	-32,318	84
Not Low Income	189,199	865	1%	17				
Total	491,133	112,010	100%	27				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2006 American Community Survey PUMS



South Carolina's 6th District

Representative: James Clyburn

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	23,837	11,555	48%	86%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	12,627	1,481	12%	11%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	71,833	13,428	19%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-08)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Charleston-North Charleston MSA	69,597	\$823	42.6%	\$15.83	\$58,400	\$17,520	\$438	\$5.85	108	\$11.56	55
Columbia HMFA	69,595	\$692	26.0%	\$13.31	\$59,200	\$17,760	\$444	\$5.85	91	\$11.09	48
Florence HMFA	12,744	\$548	29.9%	\$10.54	\$47,700	\$14,310	\$358	\$5.85	72	\$9.68	44
Sumter MSA	11,521	\$563	29.7%	\$10.83	\$45,300	\$13,590	\$340	\$5.85	74	\$9.83	44
Orangeburg County	8,312	\$543	38.9%	\$10.44	\$39,800	\$11,940	\$299	\$5.85	71	\$8.69	48
Georgetown County	4,053	\$641	29.8%	\$12.33	\$49,800	\$14,940	\$374	\$5.85	84	\$8.92	55
Marion County	3,539	\$543	42.5%	\$10.44	\$39,200	\$11,760	\$294	\$5.85	71	\$7.67	54
Colleton County	2,858	\$543	42.5%	\$10.44	\$40,800	\$12,240	\$306	\$5.85	71	\$8.68	48

Source: Out of Reach, 2007-2008. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

State-Level Statistics

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units			
ELI Households	119,990	83,461	75%	82	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households	
VLI Households	81,311	22,361	20%	40	Extremely Low Income	-8,034	-60,363	50
LI Households	100,633	5,323	5%	29	Very Low Income	67,118	-32,318	84
Not Low Income	189,199	865	1%	17				
Total	491,133	112,010	100%	27				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2006 American Community Survey PUMS

